

Series 830—Product Properties Test Guidelines—Continued

OPPTS Number	Name	Existing Numbers			EPA Pub. no. 712-C-
		OTS	OPP	OECD	
830.7520	Particle size, fiber length, and diameter distribution	796.1520	none	110	95-037
830.7550	Partition coefficient (<i>n</i> -octanol/H ₂ O), shake flask method	796.1550	63-11	107	95-038
830.7560	Partition coefficient (<i>n</i> -octanol/H ₂ O), generator column method	796.1720	63-11	none	95-039
830.7570	Partition coefficient (<i>n</i> -octanol/H ₂ O), estimation by liquid chromatography	796.1570	63-11	117	95-040
830.7840	Water solubility: Column elution method; shake flask method	796.1840	63-8	105	95-041
830.7860	Water solubility, generator column method	796.1860	63-8	none	95-042
830.7950	Vapor pressure	796.1950	63-9	104	95-043

Series 860—Residue Chemistry Test Guidelines

OPPTS Number	Name	Existing Numbers			EPA Pub. no. 712-C-
		OTS	OPP	OECD	
860.1000	Background	none	170-1	none	95-169
860.1100	Chemical identity	none	171-2	none	95-170
860.1200	Directions for use	none	171-3	none	95-171
860.1300	Nature of the residue—plants, livestock	none	171-4a,b	none	95-172
860.1340	Residue analytical method	none	171-4c,d	none	95-174
860.1360	Multiresidue method	none	171-4m	none	95-176
860.1380	Storage stability data	none	171-4e	none	95-177
860.1400	Potable water, fish, irrigated crops	none	171-4f,g,h, 165-5	none	95-178
860.1460	Food handling	none	171-4i	none	95-181
860.1480	Meat/milk/poultry/eggs	none	171-4j	none	95-182
860.1500	Crop field trials	none	171-4k	none	95-183
860.1520	Processed food/feed	none	171-4l	none	95-184
860.1550	Proposed tolerances	none	171-6	none	95-186
860.1560	Reasonable grounds in support of the petition	none	171-7	none	95-187
860.1650	Submittal of analytical reference standards	none	171-13	none	95-016
860.1850	Confined accumulation in rotational crops	none	165-1	none	95-188
860.1900	Field accumulation in rotational crops	none	165-2	none	95-189

A public record has been established for this notice under docket number "OPP-00414" (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Rm. 1132 Bay of the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Electronic comments can be sent directly to EPA at:

guidelines@epamail.epa.gov
Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this notice, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer all comments received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official record is the paper record maintained at the address in "ADDRESSES" at the beginning of this document.

Copies of the Panel's report of their recommendations will be available 10 to 15 working days after the meeting and

may be obtained by contacting the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, at the address or telephone number given above.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection.

Dated: August 21, 1995.

Daniel M. Barolo,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 95-21167 Filed 8-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

[FRL-5284-7]

Proposed Settlement Under Section 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h), Emory Plating Company Superfund Site, Des Moines, Iowa

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement under Section 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h), Emory Plating Company Superfund Site, Des Moines, Iowa.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to enter into an administrative settlement to resolve claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h). This settlement is intended to resolve the liability of Kent E. Easterday, Richard Hansen, Gary Akes, and Emory Liquidation, Inc. (hereinafter "Respondents") for the response costs incurred at the Emory Plating Company Superfund Site, 3929 East 14th Street, Des Moines, Iowa.

DATES: Written comments must be provided on or before September 25, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to the Regional Administrator, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101 and should refer to: In the Matter of Emory Plating Company Superfund Site, Kent E. Easterday, Richard Hansen, Gary Akes, Emory Liquidation, Inc., EPA Docket No. VII-95-F-0005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: J.D. Stevens, Assistant Regional Counsel, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, (913) 551-7322.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed settling parties are Emory Liquidation, Inc. (formerly known as Emory Plating Company) and its owners, Kent E. Easterday, Richard Hansen, and Gary Akes. Respondent Emory Liquidation, Inc. is the owner of the Emory Plating Company Superfund Site ("Site") property. Respondents Kent E. Easterday, Richard Hansen and Gary Akes were the owners of Emory Liquidation, Inc. prior to its dissolution. The Emory Plating Company Superfund

Site was a former electroplating facility located at 3929 East 14th Street, Des Moines, Iowa.

On July 13, 1992, the Environmental Protection Agency conducted a removal assessment to sample vats, drums and soils at the Site. This investigation revealed the presence of hazardous substances including cyanides, lead and corrosive solutions. The materials abandoned at the facility presented a significant threat to nearby populations.

The site was acquired by the Emory Plating Company in 1976. In 1985, the business and property were sold to Musser Enterprises, Inc., under a Purchase Offer and Agreement. Musser Enterprises, Inc. operated an electroplating business at the site until abandoning the facility and defaulting on the Purchase Offer and Agreement in approximately 1986. Emory Liquidation, Inc. continues to own the site property.

The EPA initiated a removal action in April 1993. The removal action that was concluded in December 1993 included the following activities: (1) characterization of the wastes in the building; (2) stabilization of uncontained waste by placement in containers; (3) excavation of contaminated soil; and (4) removal and disposal of hazardous waste and contaminated soil from the Site.

The proposed settlement provides that Respondents will make their best efforts to sell the property located at the Site and turn over to EPA the proceeds from the sale, deducting legal and appraisal fees, realtor's commissions and related taxes. The proposed settlement provides that EPA will covenant not to sue Respondents for all civil liability under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for reimbursement of response costs incurred at or in connection with the Site as of the effective date of the Agreement.

Dated: July 3, 1995.

Michael J. Sanderson,
Director, Superfund Division.

[FR Doc. 95-21171 Filed 8-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Security for the Protection of the Public Indemnification of Passengers for Nonperformance of Transportation; Notice of Issuance of Certificate (Performance)

Notice is hereby given that the following have been issued a Certificate of Financial Responsibility for Indemnification of Passengers for

Nonperformance of Transportation pursuant to the provisions of Section 3, Public Law 89-777 (46 U.S.C. 817(e)) and the Federal Maritime Commission's implementing regulations at 46 CFR part 540, as amended:

New Commodore Cruise Lines Limited, 4000 Hollywood Blvd., Suite 385 South Tower, Hollywood, Florida 33021.

Vessel: ENCHANTED SEAS.

Dated: August 21, 1995.

Joseph C. Polking,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-21099 Filed 8-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Maedgen & White, Ltd., et al.; Acquisitions of Companies Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The organizations listed in this notice have applied under § 225.23(a)(2) or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2) or (f)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.